

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,182	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STEPHAN, VOLKER	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert P. Swiatek	<b>Art Unit</b> 3643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(2) Mr. Timothy J. Klima.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 August 2005

**Time:** —

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Possible 35 USC 112, paragraph two*

**Claims discussed:**

*28, 30-34, 37-39, 42, 43*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative authorized amendments to the above claims to clarify that the "fluid" being referred to is actually --fluid flow--. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)